

Universal Service Administrative Company

D. Scon Barash Vice President and General Counsel

Administrator's Decision on Contributor Appeal

October 24, 2001

BY FEDERAL EXPRESS

Charles N. Garber, CFA Chief Financial Officer A.R.C. Networks, Inc. 1333 Broadway, Suite 1001 New York, NY 10018

RE:

A.R.C. Networks (ID # 813032)

Contributor Appeal

Dear Mr. Garber:

After thorough review, the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) has completed its evaluation of the Request for Review on behalf of A.R.C. Networks, Inc. (A.R.C. Networks) dated April 5, 2001 and received by USAC on July 27, 2001 (Appeal). Your Appeal requests that USAC accept A.R.C. Network's late-filed revised FCC Form 457 reporting revenue for the period January 1, 1998 to December 31, 1998.

Decision on Appeal: Denied.

Explanation of Decision:

Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulations in force during the relevant time period required carriers to file a Universal Service Worksheet (FCC Form 499 or, previously, FCC Form 457) twice annually, in April and September, and required USAC to bill contributors based on reported revenues. See generally 47 C.F.R. Part 54. USAC is required to estimate revenues for carriers that fail to file the required worksheets and to bill those carriers based on the estimated revenue. FCC regulations do not require USAC to accept any late-filed Universal Service Worksheets. However, in order to improve the accuracy of the revenue reported, the USAC Board of Directors has authorized staff to allow carriers to file new or revised worksheets after the original due date. Since September 1, 1999, USAC has allowed carriers to file new or revised Universal Service

Charles N. Garber, CFA Chief Financial Officer A.R.C. Networks, Inc. October 24, 2001 Page 2

Worksheets after the original due date and for a period limited up to 12 months from the initial due date of the worksheet in question.

On separate occasions A.R.C. Networks failed to file the required Universal Service Worksheets reporting revenue for the periods January 1, 1998 to June 30, 1998, January 1, 1998 to December 31, 1998 and January 1, 1999 to June 30, 1999. On all three separate occasions USAC sent non-responder letters notifying A.R.C. Networks of its failure to file the Forms and of its continuing obligation to file Forms to allow for estimation of its universal service fund contribution. Given USAC's repeated requests, A.R.C. was provided with sufficient time and notification regarding deadlines for filing of subsequent forms. Nevertheless, the FCC Forms reporting 1998 revenue were submitted significantly after the initial deadline and outside the 12 month time limit for submitting revised revenue reporting approved by the USAC Board of Directors. Thus, after careful review of the facts and the information contained in A.R.C. Network's Appeal, USAC must deny the Appeal.

If you disagree with the USAC response to your Request for Review, you may file an appeal with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) within 30 days of the date of this letter. The FCC address where you may direct your appeal is:

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary 445 12th Street, SW, Room TW-A325 Washington, DC 20554

Please be sure to indicate the following information on all communications with the FCC: "Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21."

Sincerely,

UNIVERSAL SERVICE ADMINISTRATIVE COMPANY

y: D. Scott Barash

Vice President and General Counsel

cc: Anita Cheng, FCC Common Carrier Bureau
James Shook, FCC Enforcement Bureau
Lisa Harter, USAC, Manager Revenue Administration

USAC notes that the Forms filed to report revenue for 1999 were submitted within the 12-month time limit and were already processed earlier this year. Appeal of these Forms is therefore moot.

EXHIBIT E

Proof of USAC Receipt of ARC Appeal

April 6, 2001

LOUIS	MEMO		PROM	19	July 0)	TAME 16
SAX			DEPTIS ATION			
212-195-9(87)	\$10 -6	166-2100		DCP		
COMMENTS	MAT	200-2100	301-38	25335	PHONE -	·
· ·	•					
the state of the s				************************		
		**			and the second s	
						•
	D = 1 / =					
AOUTE DRIVERS NA		RY MANIFE				
QOF GARAGE			("	NIFEST NUMBER		
703	- Rucky	4.6	0/			
NUMBER .	. P	SIGNATURE	E/	PRINT NAV	ie cod	FCCOD
-	CS TIME	EXCEPTION C	005			Car
785 848 D4F7	9.0	J-7050	~ =	I Eas	01 5 -	
	912	ECEIVED IN GOOD CACED E	XCEPT AS NOTED &	101 23		<u></u>
- 2016778857E	10.6	Wayn C	200	H Cul	1	
		ECONO IN GOOD CADER E	xcep at the th	1776		 -
382 948 5285	75	Horace	. :	D. How	1,0 5)	
	(1917	ECENED IN OCOD DODER	ACEPT AS NOTED 2	120 /31		
504 656 3715		A Samo		W Lower	s	
	1918	RECEIVED IN BODE OFFER	XCEPT AZ NOTED	1201		
153 311 0760	j_).	F. Cobbins	met la		class s	
762 277 FL86	920	ECEIVED IN GOOD DROER	EXCEPT AS NOTES	120 L3		
ESE 5874 BHZ4		Ω	- 1 - 1	J. SWOI	NEER S	<u></u> ،
6546 #10E 2.2	923	FEET STOP OF STOP	EXECUT AS NOTED &	134 130	in #	
5731 0243 373		D-B		M. Becs	sky s	
3,30 E73 2/3	929	15000 000 1 GOOD 0000	EXCEPT AS NOTED	1101 K3		
005987642 273		0	5		11/1/S_	
	19311	received in sood droer	EXOLUTAS NOTES	++	口艺	
778 521 4511		N	9	LLARL	S	
and the second s	1931	ACCE VAC & CADONADER	EXCEPT AS NOTED	MAKE	<u> </u>	
5973 DA96 470		I NO	4	1 35	s	
		ECEIVED IN GOOD GADEN	EXCEPT AS NOTED).XK	<u> </u>	
				77	<u> </u>	
·•	931	RECEIVED IN COOD CROER		7,		
691 448 5211		$\Lambda A(f)$.	1/4.	A. Anzune	10 5	
· .	932	HECEWED IN EOCO ORDER	EXCEPT AS NOTED O	2121 K3	口克	
10F 554 12P3		170-	j	1-0nn	s	
en e	936	RECEIVED IN GOOD ORDER	EKCEPT AS NOTED O	2141K		
5418 5678 470	}	710	1	403	s_	
21,20 = 10	1937	אבמפועבט ווו סססס מאספת	EXECOT AS NOTED	1141K5	口克	
3074 336 9016		no the	2 at 1	McCouric	/c s	
י מונטר סנב פייטב	19416	HECENTEO IN GOOD ORDER	EXCEPT AS NOTED	2175K	ı ş	
476 905 1515		1) a		N. Lass		
476 945 6510	943	PECE 40 N G000 07000	EXCEPT AS NOTED	2/25 K	2*	
764402561	1	7/-		Thurd (Cain S	
	955	ACCES TO GOOD 09068	EXCEPT AS NOTED		70	
. ^		11 1		-A PORS	HE S	
143306/250	1 -					
1 9 3 3 0 8 6 0 7 3 EIVER COMMENTS: (ALSO INDICATE LINE 1)	19560	1000000	EXCEPT AS NOTED	301 22	D #	

MRBORNE

VERIFICATION

I, Joseph Gregori, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the Chief Executive Officer of A.R.C. Networks, Inc.; that I am authorized to and do make this verification for it; and that the facts set forth in the above Application for Review are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Joseph Gregori

STATE OF NEW YORK)				
COUN	NTY OF Suffolk) ss.)				
0004	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to	me this _	15	day of	November	
2001.						

Witness my hand and official seal.

LOIS CIRAOLO
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01Cl5071869
Qualfied in Nassau County
Commission Expires January 21,

Notary Public

Lis Ciraolo

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Angela Green, a secretary with the law firm of Shaw Pittman, hereby certify that on the 20^{th} day of November, 2001, the foregoing **Request for Review by A.R.C. Networks, Inc. of Decision of Universal Service Administrator** was served by hand-delivery on the following:

D. Scott Barash Universal Service Administrative Company 2120 L Street NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20037

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street Room TW-A325 Washington, DC 20554

Lugela M Dreen
Angela Groen